CREATING A RADIATION DOSE MANAGEMENT STRATEGY

AHRA Regional Conference Tacoma, Washington January 30, 2014

Presented by Eric Hooper, MS, CNMT MultiCare Health System Radiation Safety Officer Radiation Physicist

OBJECTIVES

• We're going to (attempt to) answer these questions...

- Why is radiation dose management important?
- What is the true impact of dose on patients?
 How does radiation produce biological damage?
 What are the risks?
- What is radiation dose optimization?
- What is MultiCare's radiation dose optimization strategy?

A CHANGING LANDSCAPE



• The Joint Commission

- Radiation Overdose as a Reviewable Sentinel Event
- SEA 47
- New requirements proposed
- California Senate Bill 1237
- Radiation Dose Benchmarking
 - ACR Dose Index Registry
 - Dose Tracking Software
- A new and increased awareness that image quality should be balanced with radiation dose

WHY SO MUCH ATTENTION?

• Medical radiation dose is newsworthy!

- Cedars-Sinai 206 patients overexposed
- Mad River 151 scans in 68 minutes



HIGH RISK AREAS



Fluoroscopy



Radiation Therapy



Computed Tomography

MEDICAL RADIATION DOSE

- Medical radiation dose Has dramatically increased accounts for nearly 50% of the US populations average effective dose
 - All sources 620 mrem
 - Medical 310 mrem •

- over the years
 - 1980 5 million CT scans
 - 2012 70 million CT scans

EXPOSURE SOURCES FOR **COLLECTIVE EFFECTIVE DOSE, 2006**



TO CREATE A RADIATION DOSE MANAGEMENT STRATEGY....



• It's helpful to be aware of

- Radiation Dose
- Radiation Biophysics
- Risk Estimates and Their Limitations

WHAT IS DOSE?

- Dose is defined as the energy deposited per unit mass
 - 1 J/kg = 1 Gray
- Measured in units of
 - Gy \rightarrow absorbed dose
 - Sv \rightarrow dose equivalent
 - 100 rem = 1 Gy
 - 100 rad = 1 Sv



 $1.6 \ge 10^{-19}$ Joules = 1 eV

RADIATION BIOLOGY TIMELINE

• Radiation has been proven to produce harmful biological effects



NON-STOCHASTIC VS. STOCHASTIC

- Non-stochastic effects (non-probabilistic)
 - A threshold dose exists!
 - Erythema
 - Epilation
 - Dermal Necrosis
- Stochastic effects (probabilistic)
 - A threshold dose not exist LNT
 - Most common stochastic effect cancer

NON-STOCHASTIC EFFECTS

Radiation Effect	Threshold to Produce (Gy)	Amount of Fluoroscopy to produce at 5 R/min	Amount of Cine to produce at 30 R/min	Time to Effect
Transient Erythema	2	o.7 hours	o.1 hours	24 hours
Epilation	3	1 hour	o.2 hours	3 weeks
Main Erythema	6	2 hours	o.3 hours	10 days
Pericarditis	8	2.7 hours	o.4 hours	> 10 weeks
Dermal Necrosis	18	6 hours	1 hour	> 10 weeks

HOW DOES RADIATION CAUSE DAMAGE?

- Indirect Damage vs. Direct Damage
 - SSB vs. DSB
- 3 stages to forming cancer
 - Initiator mutational event
 - Promoter functional change
 - Progression tumor invasion
- Radiation is a weak carcinogen because it acts only as an initiator.



LINEAR NON-THRESHOLD

- Most conservative dose-response model
- Every exposure carries some risk for tumor development



RISK ESTIMATES

- Cancer mortality in US
 - ~20%
 - For every 10 mSv increase in dose, there is a 0.05% cancer mortality risk increase
- Risk of cancer from a 10 mSv CT scan 1/2000 ~0.05%
 - Total risk of 20.05%
- Previous risk does not affect future risk
 - Risk of 1^{st} scan = 10^{th} scan = n^{th} scan



RISK GETS COMPLICATED

• What are we measuring?

- $CT CTDI_{vol}$, $CTDI_{100}$, $CTDI_{w}$, (mGy)
- XR DAP (mGy-cm²)
- Fluoro Air Kerma (mGy)
- NM mCi, μCi
- Converting to effective dose and assessing risk is difficult
 - Based on estimates, assumptions, and models
 - High degree of variance
 - Organ properties, organ geometry, statistical models, uncertainty of data from cancer studies (LSS), LNT extrapolation, patient age, gender, body mass, genetic factors

AAPM POSITION STATEMENT ON RADIATION RISK FROM MEDICAL IMAGING PROCEDURES

- Procedures should be appropriate and conducted at the lowest radiation dose to obtain the desired information
- Discussion of risks vs. benefits with patient



- Risks below 50 mSv may be non-existent
- Predictions of hypothetical cancer incidence is highly speculative and discouraged

REDUCING RADIATION DOSE

• Two ways to reduce radiation dose

- Fewer exams performed The lowest dose procedure is the one that is not performed
 - Reduce repeat rates
 - Consult with radiologist regarding questionable exams
- Reduce the amount of radiation per exam
 - Must be balanced with maintenance of diagnostic image quality → *Dose Optimization*



DOSE OPTIMIZATION DEFINED

- The lowest radiation dose possible while maintaining diagnostic image quality
 - Must have photons to create an image
- Lower Dose \neq Better Exam
 - Best exam is the one that is diagnostic
- Close work between radiologist, technologist, and physicist



MultiCare Æ BetterConnected

MULTICARE'S RADIATION DOSE MANAGEMENT STRATEGY

IN THE BEGINNING...

- Strong emphasis on dose reduction for CT at Tacoma General
 - Investment in dose reduction technology
 - Partnership with Siemens
- Springboard for future dose optimization work
- Obstacles
 - Only one modality
 - Only one location

A SYSTEM APPROACH

- Dose optimization efforts should be focused on all modalities at a system level
 - CT, Nuclear Medicine, & Fluoroscopy
- Patients should be imaged the same way across MHS
- Increased radiologist and physicist involvement
- Provide radiation dose education to physicians, technologists and patients
- Provide for a way of tracking radiation dose

MULTICARE'S RADIATION DOSE MANAGEMENT STRATEGY – 4 AIMS

Establish Collaboration

Standardize & Optimize Protocols

Education

Assess The Doses We Use

COLLABORATION

• Outline of how we work together

- Establish modality workgroups to work on radiation dose optimization
 - Consists of Radiologists, Technologists, Physicist, and Management
 - Representatives across MHS
 - Managing multiple radiologist groups
 - CT workgroup, NM workgroup, etc
- Process & Roles
 - Radiologist, Physicist, Management, Technologist



STANDARDIZATION AND OPTIMIZATION OF IMAGING PROTOCOLS

• Create Standard Protocols

- Applied system-wide
- Reduction in unnecessary variation
- Optimization
 - Protocols reviewed annually
 - Commitment to annual physics testing (ACR)
 - Fluoroscopy review of practices

DOSE OPTIMIZATION REALIZED – CASE STUDY 1



4 mCi 99mTc Sestamibi Effective Dose – 1.17 mSv 40 mCi 99mTc Sestamibi Effective Dose – 11.7 mSv

DOSE OPTIMIZATION REALIZED – CASE STUDY 2

- Siemens Definition Flash
 - CARE-kV, CareDose 4D, X-CARE, SAFIRE

	Pre-SAFIRE	Post-SAFIRE	% reduction	ACR Reference Dose
CT Head 16 cm Phantom	48.3 mGy	33.6 mGy	30.4%	75 mGy
CT Abdomen 32 cm Phantom	14.5 mGy	10.5 mGy	27.6%	25 mGy

	Pre-SAFIRE (N=50)	Post-SAF IRE (N=50)	% reduction
CT Head	43.5 mGy	32.1 mGy	26.2%

EDUCATION

• Provide education to physicians, technologists, and patients

• Radiation dose information for patients

- Website
- Brochures
- Qualitative Risk Estimates
- Technologists
 - Annual competencies
 - Advanced modality certifications
- Physicians
 - Knowledge of current doses used
 - Fluoroscopy Training

ASSESSING OUR DOSES

• eXposure - Radimetrics

- Coming soon!
- Ability to assess our doses Dose Modeling
- Make inter-comparisons
- Recognize outliers
- Protocol Management



OBSTACLES

• Equipment

- We've got volume AND variety
- Large number of sites
- Radiology groups
- Time requirements



ADVANTAGES

MHS Safety Culture
Organizational Support

- Devoted Resources
- Technology
 - CT
 - NM

• Our staff



KEY LEARNINGS

- Rapidly changing landscape
- Increased public awareness
 - Dramatic increase in the number of diagnostic radiological exams performed
- Radiation can produce harmful biological effects
 - Careful assessment of how risk is communicated
- Most importantly, we can be better stewards of diagnostic radiation!
 - Seek to optimize radiation dose
 - Implementation of dose optimization strategies
 - MultiCare's approach is one of many

QUESTIONS

Eric Hooper, MS, CNMT MHS Radiation Safety Officer Radiation Physicist

Eric.Hooper@multicare.org 253.301.5012

